

# "PREPARING FOR THE MINNESOTA INCOME PROPERTY CASE STUDY EXAM" WORKSHOP 

Date: September 18, 2018
Location: Country Inn \& Suites
Chanhassen, MN
Instructor: Bob Wilson, CAE, ASA

## PREPARING FOR THE MN INCOME PROPERTY CASE STUDY EXAM WORKSHOP OBJECTIVES

- This workshop will review the three approaches to value with an emphasis on the income approach. The workshop is intended for assessors planning to take the MN Income Property Case Study Exam. Topics include:
- Review of units and elements of comparison
- Review of the reconstruction of an operating statement
- Review of the calculations for the various levels of income
- Review of operating expense and net operating income ratios
- Review of the calculation of a discount, recapture, and effective tax rate
- Review of statistical calculations in the sales ratio process
- Review of the calculation of a debt coverage ratio and mortgage constant
- Review of the five methods of calculating an overall capitalization rate
- Review of the residual techniques used in the Income Approach
- Review of the use of a cost manual
- Review of the calculation of annual depreciation
- Review of deriving adjustments using the Potential Gross Income Multiplier
- Review of calculating market conditions adjustments
- Review of capitalization of rent differences to derive adjustments for use in the Sales Comparison Approach

minnesota income property case study exam

The purpose of the exam is to provide a method to achieve the designation level of Senior Accredited Minnesota Assessor (SAMA). Since the exam is an alternative to writing a narrative appraisal report on an income producing property, the emphasis of the exam is on the income approach.

The minimum requirements to take the exam are:
$\checkmark$ Have completed all AMA requirements (excluding the oral interview)
$\checkmark$ Have successfully completed at least two weeks of income courses
$\checkmark$ Be currently licensed with the State Board of Assessors
The exam is in two parts. Part 1 is in three sections; Section 1 is comprised of 25 multiple choice questions with an emphasis on the income approach and statistics. The questions come from current MAAO courses and IAAO 102. Items included are : units and elements of comparison; reconstructing an operating statement; calculation of potential gross income; effective gross income; net operating income; operating expense ratios; net operating income ratios; discount rates; recapture rates; overall capitalization rates; effective tax rates; sales ratios; statistical calculations such as mean, median, level of assessment statistics, coefficient of dispersion, coefficient of variation, price related differential, average absolute deviation; calculation of a debt coverage ratio; calculating a market condition (time) adjustment; use of a rent multiplier; sales comparison adjustment process; use of a cost manual; and the residual techniques used in the income approach. Current course materials will provide an excellent review. Section 2 has 10 short answer questions and Section 3 has 5 problem-solving questions.

Part 2 of the exam is in a narrative format. The candidate is provided detailed market, income and cost data to arrive at a value for an apartment property using the three approaches to value. The importance of this part is to DEMONSTRATE the candidate's knowledge of the appraisal process and to be able to extract data from the market information.

To successfully complete the exam a combined score of 75 , or $75 \%$ of the maximum 100 points is required. The candidate has two opportunities to successfully complete the exam. If the second attempt is not successful, the candidate is required to write a demonstration narrative appraisal on an income producing property.

MAAO
Minnesota Association of Assessing Officers

## MINNESOTA INCOME PROPERTY CASE STUDY EXAM GRADING SUMMARY

| Candidate's Name: | Date: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Candidate's <br> Address: | License \#: |
|  |  |
|  |  |


| Exam Date: | Grader: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Proctor: |  |

1st Grading $\square$ 2nd Grading

## PART 1

POSSIBLE POINTS

## POINTS

## RECEIVED

Multiple Choice 25
Short Answer10

## Problems

Part 1 Possible Points
POSSIBLE POINTS
POINTS

PART 2
RECEIVED
Cost Approach 15
Income Approach 24
Sales Comparison Approach 16
Reconciliation
Part 2 Possible Points $\quad \overline{60}$
TOTAL POSSIBLE POINTS
Minimum passing score is 75 or $75 \%$.

Pass $\square$ Fail

Grader's Signature Date

## THE APPRAISAL PROCESS

| Step 1 |  | Definition of the Problem |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Identify client and intended users | Identify the intended use | Identify the purpose of the assignment (type of value) | Identify the effective date of the opinion of value | Identify the relevant characteristic $s$ of the property | Assignment Conditions |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Extraordinary Assumptions | Hypothetical Conditions |
| Step 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Step 3 Applicable Data Collection and Analysis |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Market Area Data |  | Subject Property Data |  |  | Comparable Property Data |  |
| Market Analysis |  |  | Highest and Best Use Analysis |  |  |  |
| Step 4 |  | Application of the Three Approaches |  |  |  |  |
| Cost |  | Sales Comparison |  |  | Income Capitalization |  |
| Step 5 Reconciliation of Value Indications and Final Value Estimat |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Step 6 |  | Report of Defined Value |  |  |  |  |

## DEFINITION OF MARKET VALUE

Most probable price that a property should bring

- In a competitive and open market;
- under conditions requisite to a fair sale;
- the buyer and seller each acting prudently and knowledgeably;
- assuming the price is not affected by undue stimulus.

Implicit in this definition is the consummation of a sale as of a specified date and the passing of title from seller to buyer under conditions whereby:

- buyer and seller are typically motivated;
- both parties are well-informed or well-advised, and acting in what they consider their best interests;
- a reasonable time is allowed for exposure in the open market;
- payment is made in terms of cash in United States dollars or in terms of financial arrangements comparable thereto; and
- the price presents the normal consideration for the property sold unaffected by special or creative financing or sales concessions granted by anyone associated with the sale.

> Market Value = Value in Exchange


Preparing for the Minnesota Income Property Case Study Exam

## COST APPROACH <br> Site Valuation

To estimate the value of the site, you have discovered the following site sales in the vicinity. Although they are different sizes, they all are zoned the same as the subject property and have public utilities available. The following is a summary of the site sales you will be using to value the subject site:

| Sale \# | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sale Date | $10 / 5 / 2010$ | $3 / 15 / 2011$ | $1 / 31 / 2011$ | $12 / 1 / 2011$ |
| Sale Price | $\$ 58,000$ | $\$ 150,000$ | $\$ 75,000$ | $\$ 57,000$ |
| Site Size | $21,200 \mathrm{SF}$ | $48,000 \mathrm{SF}$ | $25,000 \mathrm{SF}$ | $20,000 \mathrm{SF}$ |
| Units Buildable | 9 | 24 | 12 | 8 |

- Market Conditions (Time) adjustment is 6\% per year.
- Sale \#3 is $5 \%$ inferior to subject.
- $\quad$ Sale \#4 is $5 \%$ superior to subject.
- Date of appraisal is March 1, 2013.

Complete the site valuation grid on the following page.

## COST APPROACH Site Valuation

1. Based on the site sales provided, complete the following data/adjustment grid to list and analyze both the units of comparison and elements of comparison to estimate the site value.

|  | Subject | Sale \#1 | Sale \#2 | Sale \#3 | Sale \#4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sale Date |  |  |  |  |  |
| Site Size |  |  |  |  |  |
| Units Buildable |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sale Price |  |  |  |  |  |
| Market Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adjusted Sale Price |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Final Adj. Sale Price |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adjusted Price per |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adjusted Price per |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# Adjustments |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross Adjustments |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Adjustments |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

2. Explain your value estimate.

## COST APPROACH <br> Site Valuation <br> SOLUTION PAGE

1. Based on the site sales provided, complete the following data/adjustment grid to list and analyze both the units of comparison and elements of comparison to estimate the site value.

|  | Subject | Sale \#1 | Sale \#2 | Sale \#3 | Sale \#4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sale Date |  | 10/5/2010 | 3/15/20011 | 1/31/2011 | 12/1/2011 |
| Site Size |  | 21,200 Sq. Ft. | 48,000 Sq. Ft. | 25,000 Sq. Ft. | 20,000 Sq. Ft. |
| Units Buildable |  | 9 units | 24 units | 12 units | 8 units |
| Sale Price |  | \$58,000 | \$150,000 | \$75,000 | \$57,000 |
| Market Conditions | .005/mo. | $\begin{gathered} 29 \mathrm{mo} . \\ 8,410 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \mathrm{mo} \\ & 18,000 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \mathrm{mo} . \\ 9,750 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \mathrm{mo} . \\ & 4,275 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Adjusted Sale Price |  | 66,410 | 168,000 | 84,750 | 61,275 |
| Adjustment |  |  |  | 4,238 | -3,064 |
| Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |
| Final Adj. Sale Price |  | 66,410 | \$ 168,000 | \$ 88,988 | 58,211 |
| Adjusted Price per sq.ft. | Range 22\% | \$3.13 | \$3.50 | \$3.56 | \$2.91 |
| Adjusted Price per unit. | Range 6\% | \$7,379 | \$7,000 | \$7,416 | \$7,276 |
| \# Adjustments |  | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Gross Adjustments |  | \$8,410 | \$18,000 | \$13,988 | \$7,339 |
| Net Adjustments |  | \$8,410 | \$18,000 | \$13,988 | \$1,211 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

2. Explain your value estimate.

Best unit of comparison is sale price per unit. Sales \# 1 and \# 4 had the least amount of gross adjustments. Site value would be somewhere between \$7,276 and \$7,379 per unit- buildable.

## COST APPROACH

Improvement Valuation
Use of the Marshall Valuation Service in the Cost Approach
VALUE $=$ Cost of Improvements - Depreciation + Land
The Calculator (Square Foot) Method is the primary method for evaluating common commercial properties

The Calculator Method provides square foot costs for various typical buildings, together with modifiers for common deviations from these typical buildings

The Calculator Method is based on the concept of cost per increment of floor area or volume (square foot, square meter or cubic foot). With this method, you select a cost from a table of typical costs that include material, labor, fees, overhead and profit. You then modify the cost for selected construction differences, design, size, time and location. The base tables and adjustments are organized by occupancy, class, size and quality.

When using the Marshall Valuation Service you must determine the following before making any calculations:

- Occupancy
- Construction Class
- Quality
MULTIPLE RESIDENCES
(Calculator Method)
CLASS OF CONSTRUCTION INDICATORS

| CLASS | FRAME | FLOOR | ROOF | WALLS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Structural steel columns and beams, fireproofed with masonry, concrete, plaster, or other noncombustible material. | Concrete or concrete on steel deck, fireproofed. | Formed concrete, precast slabs, concrete or gypsum on steel deck, fireproofed. | Nonbearing curtain walls, masonry, concrete, metal and glass panels, stone, steel studs and masonry, tile or stucco, etc. |
| B | Reinforced concrete columns and beams. Fire-resistant construction. | Concrete or concrete on steel deck, fireproofed. | Formed concrete, precast slabs, concrete or gypsum on steel deck, fireproofed. | Nonbearing curtain walls, masonry, concrete, metal and glass panels, stone, steel studs and masonry, tile or stucco, etc. |
| C | Masonry or concrete load-bearing walls with or without pilasters. Masonry, concrete or curtain walls with full or partial open steel, wood, or concrete frame. | Wood or concrete plank on wood or steel floor Joists, or concrete slab on grade. | Wood or steel Jolsts with wood or steel deck. Concrete plank. | Brick, concrete block, or tile masonry, till-up, formed concrete, nonbearing curtaln walls. |
| D | Wood or steel studs in bearing wall, full or partial open wood or steel frame, primarily combustible construction. | Wood or steel floor joists or concrete slab on grade. | Wood or steel joists with wood or steel deck. | Almost any material except bearing or curtain walls of solid masonry or concrete. Generally combustible construction. |
| S. | Metal bents, columns, girders, purlins and girts without fireproofing, incombustible construction. | Wood or steel deck on steel floor jolsts, or concrete slab on grade. | Steel or wood deck on steel joists. | Metal skin or sandwich panels. Generally Incombustible. |



[^0]MARSHALL VALUATION SERVICE
Q2000 by MARSHALL \& SWIFT, L.P. All rights reserved.
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## CLASS OF CONSTRUCTION INDICATORS

MULTIPLE RESIDENCES

| CLASS | TYPE | EXTERIOR WALLS | INTERIOR FINISH | LIGHTING AND PLUMBING | HEAT | Sq. M. | COST |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | Excellent | Face brick, concrete/metal panels, best roof structure and roofing | Good plaster and paint, paneling, fine detail, hardwood, carpet | Good fixtures, many outlets, | Warm and cool air | \$907.41 | \$9.37 | \$84.30 |
|  | Good | lock, good trim, roof structure and roofing | Good plaster or drywall, hardwood, carpet, vinyl composition | Good lightitig, one bath per bedroom, TV antenna | Package A.C. | 681.58 | 7.04 | 63.3 |
|  | Average | Brick or block, some trim, asphalt | Plasterdrdy wall, paint, hardwood, carpet, vinyl composition | Adeauatell lighting/plumbing, | Forced air | 509.14 | 5.26 | 47.30 |
|  | Fair | trim shingle or built-up roof <br> Block/brick, standard sash, little | Drywall or praster, carpet, vinyl compositio tile | Adequate standard lighting | Elec | 444.3 | 4.59 | ${ }^{41.28}$ |
|  | Low cost | Low-cost brick or block, very plain, minimum fenestration | Painted block, drywall partitions, | Minimum lighting/plumbing per code | Wall furnace | 79.86 | 3.92 | 35.2 |
| $\underset{\substack{\text { MASONBY } \\ \text { VENEER }}}{\mathrm{D}}$ | Excellent | Face brick, stone veneer, good wood or steel frame and roof structure | Good plaster, paint, paneling, fine detail, hardwood, carpet | Good fixtures, many outtets, | Warm and | 892.44 | 9.21 | 82.91 |
|  | Go | Good brick veneer and fenestration, good roof structure and roofing | Good plaster and drywall, painted, hardwood, vinyl composition, carpet | Good lighting, one bath per bedroom, TV' antenna | Package A.C. | ${ }^{667.37}$ | 6.89 | 62.00 |
|  | Ave | Brick veneer, some omamentation, | Plaster or drywall, hardwood, vinyl composition, carpet | phone and TV jacks <br> Adequatal Ilghtitg/plumbling, | ed air | 96.3 | 5.12 | 46.11 |
|  | Fair | Brick veneer, little trim, standard Brick anear, shingle or built-up roo | Drywall or plaster, carpet, vinyl composition tile | Adequate standard lighting and | Electric | 432. | 4.46 | 40.16 |
|  | Low cost | Low-cost brick, block veneer, very plain, minimum fenestration | Drywall and paint, asphatt tile and low-cost carpet | Minimum lighting/plumbing per code | Wall furnace | 368.4 | 3.80 | ${ }^{34.23}$ |
| D | Excellent | Best stucco or siding, brick and <br> stone trim, heavy besic structuro | Good plaster, paint, paneling, fine detail hardwood, carpe | Good fixtures, many outtet contral TV antenna interc | Warm and | 874.14 | 9.02 | 81.21 |
|  | Good | Good stucco or siding, some brick or stone trim, good roof | Good plaster or drywall, painted, hardwood, vinyl composition, carpet | Good lighting, one bath per bedroom, IV antenna | Package A.C. | ${ }^{651.65}$ | 6.73 | 60.54 |
|  | ge | Stucco/siding, some ornamentation average code construction | Plaster or dywall, hardwood, vinyl composition, carpet | phone and TV jacks <br> Adequate lighting/plumbing, | Forced alr | 48.77 | 4.98 | 44.85 |
|  | Fair | Stucco or sididing, standard sash, asphalt shingles/built-up roof | Drywall or plaster, carpet, vinyl composition tile | Adequate standard lighting and plumbing per good codes | Electric baseboard | . 69 | . 33 | 38.99 |
|  | Low cost | Low-coss stucco or sididg, very plain, minimum fenestration | Drwwall and paint, asphalt tile and Iow-cost carpet | Minimum lighting/plumbing per code | Wall furnace | 93 | 3.68 | 33.1 |
| S | Good | Good sandwich panels on preengineered frame, good fenestration | Gypsum board and plastics, carpet and vinyl composition | bedroom, TV antenna <br> Good lighting, one bath per | Package A.C | 645.19 | . 66 | 59.9 |
|  | Average | frame, adequate fenestration | carpe <br> Gypsum board, vinyl composition, | Adequate lighting/plumbing, phone and TV jacks | Forced alr | 479.21 | 4.95 | 44.52 |

## DEPRECIATION CALCULATION

Analyze the following 3 sales to extract the subject's annual depreciation and total economic life from the market.

|  | Sale \#1 | Sale \#2 | Sale \#3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sale Price | \$800,000 | \$700,000 | \$600,000 |
| Site Value | $(150,000)$ | $(140,000)$ | $(120,000)$ |
| Improvement Value |  |  |  |
| RCN (Improvements) | 820,000 | 725,000 | 615,000 |
| Indicated Value of Improvements |  |  |  |
| Accrued Depreciation |  |  |  |
| Percent Depreciation | \% | \% | \% |
| Indicated Effective Age | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Percent Annual Depreciation | \% | \% | \% |
| Estimated Total Economic Life (Years) |  |  |  |

## DEPRECIATION CALCULATION

## SOLUTION

Analyze the following 3 sales to extract the subject's annual depreciation and total economic life from the market.

|  | Sale \#1 | Sale \#2 | Sale \#3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sale Price | \$800,000 | \$700,000 | \$600,000 |
| Site Value | $(150,000)$ | (140,000) | $(120,000)$ |
| Improvement Value | 650,000 | 560,000 | 480,000 |
| RCN (Improvements) | 820,000 | 725,000 | 615,000 |
| Indicated Value of Improvements | 650,000 | 560,000 | 480,000 |
| Accrued Depreciation | \$170,000 | \$165,000 | \$135,000 |
|  | 170,000 $\div 820,000$ | $165,000 \div 725,000$ | $135,000 \div 615,000$ |
| Percent Depreciation | 20.7\% | 22.8\% | 22.0\% |
| Indicated Effective Age | 20 | 20 | 20 |
|  | $(.207 \div 20) \times 100$ | $(.228 \div 20) \times 100$ | $(.220 \div 20) \times 100$ |
| Percent Annual Depreciation | 1.04\% | 1.14\% | 1.10\% |
|  | $1 \div .0104$ | $1 \div .0114$ | $1 \div .0110$ |
| Estimated Total Economic Life (Years) | 96 | 88 | 91 |



## SUBJECT PROPERTY

8 unit apartment building
2-story built in 1962
Average unit size is 956 sf.
Wood frame construction
Physical Condition is average
Brick exterior
Hip roof with composition shingles
Hot water heat
Construction Quality is good
Gross building area is 9,000 square feet

From the cost information included on pages 8-9, estimate the replacement cost new (RCN) of the subject improvements.

## Occupancy-Multiple Residences

Building Class and Quality-
Gross Building Area- $\qquad$
Cost per Sq. Ft.- $\qquad$
Area Multiplier- $\qquad$
Modified Cost per Sq. Ft.- $\qquad$
RCN = $\qquad$
From the Depreciation Calculation on page 10, calculate the depreciation for the subject property.

# COST APPROACH <br> Replacement Cost New <br> SOLUTION PAGE 

From the cost information included on pages 8-9, estimate the replacement cost new (RCN) of the subject improvements.

Occupancy-Multiple Residences
Building Class and Quality-Class "D" Masonry Veneer, Quality "Good"
Gross Building Area- 9,000 square feet
Cost per Sq. Ft.- $\$ 62.00$ per sq. ft.
Area Multiplier- Subject is 8 units, 9,000 sq. ft. so...
8,000 sq. ft. multiplier is $.971 ; 10,000$ sq. ft. multiplier is .941
Interpolation for 9,000 sq. ft. $=(.971+.941) / 2=\underline{.956}$

Modified Cost per Sq. Ft.-\$62.00 x . $956=\$ 59.27$
$\mathbf{R C N}=\$ 59.27 \times 9,000$ sq. ft. $=\$ 533,430$
From the Depreciation Calculation on page 10, calculate the depreciation for the subject property.
.011 (percent annual depreciation) x 20 years (effective age) $=.220$ or $22.0 \%$

## SAMPLE COMPARABLE \#1



Front View

| Property Address: 4009 |  | Name: | Stellar Apartments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PIN: 82.45000.000 |  | Year Built: | 1980 |
| Condition: | Ave | \# Units: | 8 \# BR 16 \# Rooms 32 |
| Gross Floor Area: | 7,000 | Net Leasable Area: | 6,400 |
| Apt. Rent per Unit | \$600 | Garage Rent \# Units | 4 @\$ Per month 40 |
| Gross Sale Price | \$310,000 | Personal Property | \$6,000 |
| Sale Price per Unit | \$38,750 | Sale Date: | 6/15/2012 |
| Actual Rents Collected | \$56,300 | Actual Expenses | \$27,100 (including taxes and reserves) |
| Payable 2013 Taxes | \$4,100 | Assessor's 2012 EMV | \$276,000 |
| Site Size: | 16,000 SF | Zoning: | R-5 |
| NOTES: <br> Terms: 25\% Down; Mortgage @ 6.25\%; Monthly Pmt. \$1,431.54 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Using Sample Comparable \#1 on page 15, calculate the following information:

1. Net Sale Price $\qquad$
2. Net Sale Price per Gross Floor Area $\qquad$
3. Net Sale price per Unit $\qquad$
4. Net Sale Price per Bedroom $\qquad$
5. Net Sale price per Room $\qquad$
6. Net Sale Price per Net Leasable Area
7. Personal Property per Unit $\qquad$
8. Potential Gross Income $\qquad$
9. Vacancy and Collection Loss $\qquad$
10. Effective Gross income $\qquad$
11. Operating Expense $\qquad$
12. Operating Expense Ratio (excluding taxes) $\qquad$
13. Net Operating Income $\qquad$
14. Net Operating Income Ratio $\qquad$
15. Effective Tax Rate $\qquad$
16. Potential Gross Income Multiplier $\qquad$
17. Effective Gross Income Multiplier $\qquad$
18. Overall Capitalization Rate $\qquad$
19. Loan-to -Value Ratio $\qquad$
20. Mortgage Amount $\qquad$
21. Annual Debt Service $\qquad$
22. Mortgage Constant $\qquad$
23. Debt Coverage Ratio $\qquad$
Preparing for the Minnesota Income Property Case Study Exam

## Solutions:

1. Net Sale Price $=\mathbf{\$ 3 0 4 , 0 0 0}$ (sale price-PP)
2. Net Sale Price per Gross Floor Area $=\mathbf{\$ 4 3 . 4 3}$
3. Net Sale price per Unit $=\mathbf{\$ 3 8 , 0 0 0}$
4. Net Sale Price per Bedroom $=\$ 19,000$
5. Net Sale price per Room $=\$ 9,500$
6. Net Sale Price per Net Leasable Area $=\mathbf{\$ 4 7 . 5 0}$
7. Personal Property per Unit $=\mathbf{\$ 7 5 0}$
8. Potential Gross Income $=\$ 59,520(\$ 600 \times 8 \times 12)+(\$ 40 \times 4 \times 12)$

* garage rent is included in Potential Gross Income

9. Vacancy and Collection Loss $=\mathbf{\$ 3 , 2 2 0}$ or $\underline{\mathbf{5 . 4 1} \%}(\$ 59,520-\$ 56,300) \div \$ 59,520$
10. Effective Gross income $=\mathbf{\$ 5 6 , 3 0 0}$ (aka "actual rents collected')
11. Operating Expense $=\mathbf{\$ 2 7 , 1 0 0}$
12. Operating Expense Ratio (excluding taxes) $=\underline{\mathbf{0 . 4 1}}$ or $\underline{\mathbf{4 1} \%}(\$ 23,000 \div \$ 56,300)$
13. Net Operating Income $=\mathbf{\$ 2 9 , 2 0 0}(\$ 56,300-\$ 27,100)$

14. Effective Tax Rate $=\underline{\mathbf{0 1 4 9}}$ or $\mathbf{1 . 4 9 \%}(\$ 4,100 \div \$ \underline{276,000})$

* ETR is calculated as a percent of assessor's EMV

16. Potential Gross income Multiplier $=\underline{\mathbf{5 . 2 1}}$ use $\quad \underline{\mathrm{V}} \mathrm{IF} \quad(\$ \underline{310,000} \div \$ 59,520)$

* PGIM is calculated using Gross Sale Price

17. Effective Gross Income Multiplier $=\mathbf{5 . 5 1}(\$ 310,000 \div \$ 56,300)$
*EGIM is calculated using Gross Sales Price

## Solutions:

18. Overall Capitalization Rate $=\underline{\mathbf{9 . 4 2 \%}}$ use $\quad \frac{1}{R \mathrm{~V}} \quad(\$ \underline{29,200} \div \$ 310,000)$ *NOI includes real estate taxes as an expense
19. Loan-to -Value Ratio = $\underline{.75}(25 \%$ down $=75 \%$ mortgage $)$
20. Mortgage Amount $=\$ 232,500(\$ 310,000 \times .75)$
21. Annual Debt Service $=\mathbf{\$ 1 7 , 1 7 8 . 4 8}(\$ 1,431.54 \times 12)$
22. Mortgage Constant $=\underline{7.39}(\$ 17,178.48 \div \$ 232,500)$
*Mortgage Constant used in Band of Investment and DCR methods
23. Debt Coverage Ratio $=\underline{\mathbf{1 . 7 0}}(\$ 29,200 \div \$ 17,178.48)$

# DIRECT CAPITALIZATION 

TWO TYPES
IRV


- Normal net income from a single year is divided by an overall capitalization rate to produce an estimate of value
- The overall capitalization rate is developed from an analysis of actual ratios of income to sale price of properties similar to the one being appraised

- Used when data on operating expenses are unavailable
- Gross income from a single period is multiplied by a factor to produce an estimate of value
- Factors include: GIM, GRM, PGIM, EGIM


## Reconstruction of an Operating Statement

You are appraising an 12 -unit 2 BR apartment property for tax purposes. Shown below is the owner's operating statement prepared by his accountant. After careful analysis, you decide that all items are reasonably correct, needing only to be rounded to the nearest $\$ 10$. The owner did not include in his statement an allowance for vacancies, which you estimate to be 3 percent of gross income. He did not include any reserves for replacement, which you estimate to be $\$ 4,500$. Painting and decorating are included in the reserves. Reconstruct the operating statement, to estimate the net operating income.

|  | Owner's Figures | Your Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gross Income | \$86,400.00 |  |
| Allowance for vacancies |  |  |
| Effective gross income | \$86,400.00 |  |
| Expenses: |  |  |
| Employees' salaries and wages | 7,300.59 |  |
| Employees' benefits | 400.11 |  |
| Insurance | 1,595.72 |  |
| Gas | 2,690.72 |  |
| Painting and decorating | 2,186.85 |  |
| Payments on air conditioners | 3,000.00 |  |
| Repairs | 1,560.00 |  |
| Supplies | 399.14 |  |
| Electricity | 1,275.19 |  |
| Water | 488.60 |  |
| Reserves for replacements | --- |  |
| Management | 4,200.00 |  |
| Real estate taxes | 14,400.00 |  |
| Depreciation-building | 10,416.00 |  |
| Interest on mortgage | 16,000.00 |  |
| Legal and accounting fees | 300.00 |  |
| Principal on mortgage | 2,800.00 |  |
| Miscellaneous expenses | 1,500.00 |  |
| TOTAL EXPENSES | \$70,512.92 | \$ |
| NET INCOME | \$19,487.08 | \$ |

# Reconstruction of an Operating Statement 

## SOLUTION

Gross Income
Allowance for vacancies
Effective Gross Income

Expenses:
Employees' salaries and wages
Employees benefits
Insurance
Gas
Painting and decorating
Payments on air conditioners
Repairs
Supplies
Electricity
Water
Reserves for replacements
Management
Real estate taxes
Depreciation-building
Interest on mortgage
Legal and accounting fees
Principal on mortgage
Miscellaneous expenses
TOTAL EXPENSES
NET INCOME

| Owner's Figures |  |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 86,400.00$ | Your Estimate <br> $\$ 86,400.00$ <br>  <br>  <br> $\$ 2,592.00$ <br> $\$ 86,400.00$$\$ 83,808.00$ |


| $\$ 7,300.59$ | $\$ 7,300.00$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 400.11$ | $\$ 400.00$ |
| $\$ 1,595.72$ | $\$ 1,600.00$ |
| $\$ 2,690.72$ | $\$ 2,690.00$ |
| $\$ 2,186.85$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| $\$ 3,000.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| $\$ 1,560.00$ | $\$ 1,560.00$ |
| $\$ 399.14$ | $\$ 400.00$ |
| $\$ 1,275.19$ | $\$ 1,280.00$ |


| $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 4,500.00$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| $\$ 4,200.00$ | $\$ 4,200.00$ |
| $\$ 14,400.00$ | $* \$ 0.00$ |
| $\$ 10,416.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| $\$ 16,000.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| $\$ 300.00$ | $\$ 300.00$ |
| $\$ 2,800.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ |
| $\$ 1,500.00$ | $\$ 1,500.00$ |
| $\$ 70,512.92$ | $\$ 26,220.00$ |
| $\$ 15,887.08$ | $\$ 57,588.00$ |

* real estate taxes are accounted for by including an effective tax rate in the overall capitalization rate


## Income Statement Components Expense Categories \& Breakdown of NOI

## Effective Gross Income

Management
Salaries
Utilities
Managerial Support
Repairs \& Maint.
Miscellaneous
Replacement Reserves
Insurance


## Expense Categories

## Direct Capitalization with a Capitalization Rate

Using the net operating income from the prior exercise on page 21 and the market data derived from sample Comparable Sale \#1 on page 15, estimate the value of the 12-unit apartment by the income approach.

## Capitalization Process:

## NET OPERATING INCOME

## CAPITALIZATION

OVERALL RATE
EFFECTIVE TAX RATE $\qquad$
Built-Up Rate

Capitalized Value
Less Personal Property $\qquad$ per unit
$\qquad$
$\square$

Indicated Value
Indicated Value Per Unit

## SOLUTION

## Direct Capitalization with a Capitalization Rate

Using the net operating income from the prior exercise on page 21 and the market data derived from sample Comparable Sale \#1 on page 15, estimate the value of the 12-unit apartment by the income approach.

## Capitalization Process:

NET OPERATING INCOME \$57,588

## CAPITALIZATION

$$
\text { OVERALL RATE } \underline{0942}
$$

EFFECTIVE TAX RATE.$\underline{0149}$
Built-Up Rate . 1091

Capitalized Value \$527,846
Less Personal Property $\$ 750$ per unit $(\$ 9,000)$ (x 12 units)

Indicated Value $\$ 518,800$

Indicated Value Per Unit \$43,233

## INCOME APPROACH IMPORTANT POINTS TO REMEMBER

- Capitalization rates that are derived from market sales should include real estate taxes as an expense when calculating net operating income (NOI)


## Comparable \#1

Gross Sale Price - \$400,000
Actual Rents Collected - \$60,000
Actual Expenses - \$29,000 (including taxes)
Net Operating Income - \$31,000
Cap Rate $=\$ 31,000$

$$
\$ 400,000=.0775 \text { or } 7.75 \%
$$

- When calculating NOI for the subject property, real estate taxes are excluded and instead, the effective tax rate (ETR) is added to the market derived cap rate to arrive at a "built-up rate"


## Subject Property

NOI (not including real estate taxes) - \$35,000
Indicated Cap Rate - . 0775
Effective Tax Rate - . 014
Built-Up Rate - . 0915
Capitalized Value $=\frac{\$ 35,000}{.0915}$

$$
.0915=\$ 382,500
$$

- Personal property is deducted from the capitalized value to arrive at an indicated value for the real property only

Capitalized Value $=\quad \$ 382,500$
Less Personal Property
$\$ 500 \times 12$ units =
$(\$ 6,000)$
Indicated Value = $\$ 376,500$

# SALES COMPARISON APPROACH <br> MARKET CONDITIONS (TIME) ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION FOR IMPROVED PROPERTIES 

To estimate an appropriate market conditions adjustment, analyze three apartment properties that have sold twice within the last three years.

| Property \#1 | Sale Date 07/14/2011 | Sale Price \$395,000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Sale Date 12/20/2012 | Sale Price \$420,000 |
| Property \#2 | Sale Date 11/02/2011 | Sale Price \$700,000 |
|  | Sale Date 02/05/2013 | Sale Price \$740,000 |
| Property \#3 | Sale Date 01/30/2011 | Sale Price \$220,000 |
|  | Sale Date 01/25/2013 | Sale Price \$240,000 |

From this market data, estimate the appropriate market conditions adjustment for the improved comparables.

## SALES COMPARISON APPROACH <br> MARKET CONDITIONS (TIME) ADJUSTMENT CALCULATION FOR IMPROVED PROPERTIES

## SOLUTION

To estimate an appropriate market conditions adjustment, analyze three apartment properties that have sold twice within the last three years.

| Property \#1 | Sale Date 07/14/2011 | Sale Price $\$ 395,000$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Sale Date $12 / 20 / 2012$ | Sale Price $\$ 420,000$ |
| Property \#2 | Sale Date 11/02/2011 | Sale Price $\$ 700,000$ |
|  | Sale Date 02/05/2013 | Sale Price $\$ 740,000$ |
| Property \#3 | Sale Date 01/30/2011 | Sale Price $\$ 220,000$ |
|  | Sale Date 01/25/2013 | Sale Price $\$ 240,000$ |

From this market data, estimate the appropriate time adjustment for the improved comparables.

Property \#1:

$$
\$ 420,000
$$

- 395,000
$25,000 / 395,000=0.0633 / 17$ months

$$
=.0037 \text { monthly * } 12=.045 \text { annually }
$$

Property \#2:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\$ 740,000 \\
\frac{-700,000}{40,000 / \$ 700,000}=0.0571 / 15 \text { months } \\
\quad=.0038 \text { monthly * } 12=.046 \text { annually }
\end{array}
$$

Property \#3:

$$
\$ 240,000
$$

- 220,000
$20,000 / \$ 220,000=.091 / 24$ months $=.0038$ monthly * $12=.045$ annually


## Application of the Potential Gross Income Multiplier

Using the potential gross income from the reconstructed operating statement on page 21 and the market data derived from sample Comparable Sale \#1 on page 15, estimate the value of the 12 -unit apartment using the Potential Gross Income Multiplier (PGIM).


## POTENTIAL GROSS INCOME

## POTENTIAL GROSS INCOME MULTIPLIER

## Estimated Value

Less Personal Property $\qquad$ per unit $\square$

Indicated Value
Indicated Value Per Unit

## SOLUTION

## Application of the Potential Gross Income Multiplier

Using the potential gross income from the reconstructed operating statement on page 21 and the market data derived from sample Comparable Sale \#1 on page 15, estimate the value of the 12-unit apartment using the Potential Gross Income Multiplier (PGIM).


## POTENTIAL GROSS INCOME <br> $\$ 86,400$

## POTENTIAL GROSS INCOME MULTIPLIER <br> 5.21

I $x \quad F=V$
( $86,400 \times 5.21$ )
Estimated Value \$450,144
Less Personal Property $\quad \$ 750$ per unit $\quad(\$ 9,000)$

Indicated Value
$\$ 441,100$
Indicated Value Per Unit
$\$ 36,750$


* Note that forms of statistical analysis can also serve as qualitative techniques.
comparison. Often the "transactional" adjustments-property rights conveyed, financing, conditions of sale (motivation), expenditures made immediately after purchase, and date of sale (market conditions)-are made to the total sale price. The adjusted price is then converted into a unit price and adjusted for the "property"-related elements of comparison such as physical and legal characteristics.


## Elements of Comparison

Elements of comparison are the characteristics of properties and transactions that help explain the variances in the prices paid for real property. The appraiser determines the elements of comparison for a given appraisal through market research and supports those conclusions with market evidence. When properly identified, the elements of comparison describe the factors that are associated with the prices paid for competing properties. The market data, if analyzed properly, will identify the elements of comparison within the comparable sales that are market-sensitive.

The basic elements of comparison that should be considered in sales comparison analysis are as follows:

1. Real property rights conveyed
2. Financing terms (i.e., cash equivalency)
3. Conditions of sale (i.e., motivation)
4. Expenditures made immediately after purchase
5. Market conditions (i.e., time)
6. Location
7. Physical characteristics (e.g., size, soils, access, construction quality, condition)
8. Economic characteristics (e.g., expense ratios, lease provisions, management, tenant mix)
9. Use (e.g., zoning, water and riparian rights, environmental, building codes, flood zones)
10. Non-realty components of value (e.g., business value, chattel, franchises, trademarks)

## elements of comparison

The characteristics or attributes of properties and transactions that cause the prices of real estate to vary; include real property rights conveyed, financing terms, conditions of sale, expenditures made inmediately after purchase, market conditions, location, physical characteristics, other characteristics such as economic characteristics, use, and nonrealty components of value. Elements of comparison are analogous to the lines of adjustment shown on a sales comparison adjustment grid.

In most cases the elements of comparison cover all the significant factors to be considered, but on occasion additional factors may be relevant. Other possible elements of comparison include governmental restrictions such as conservation or preservation easements and off-site improvements required for the development of a vacant site.

Often a basic element of comparison is broken down into subcategories that specifically address the property factor being analyzed. For example, physical characteristics may be broken down into subcategories for age, condition, size, and so on. (Adjustment techniques for each of the standard elements of comparison are illustrated in Chapter 14.) There is no limit to the number of elements of comparison that may be found in a market, so it is important to remember that another line can always be added to an adjustment grid for an additional item recognized in the market. For example, an appraiser may need to add "roof color" as an element of comparison if the market makes distinctions in sale price based on the color of the roof. However, note that adding elements of comparison for adjustment may lead to multiple adjustments for the same factor, a common error that is discussed in Chapter 14.

## Sequence of Adjustments

The sequence in which adjustments are applied to the comparable sales is determined by the market data and the appraiser's analysis of that data. The first five elements of comparison in the list are considered "transactional" adjustments, while the latter five are considered "property" adjustments (see Figure 13.1). The transactional adjustments are generally applied in the order listed. The property adjustments are usually applied after the transactional adjustments, but in no particular order.

Figure 13.1 Transactional and Property Adjustments


The sequence can vary depending on the availability and reliability of sales information. For example, resales supporting a market conditions adjustment may then allow a pairing of data to extract a financing terms adjustment. The sequence presented in Table 13.3 is provided for purposes of illustration. This sequence is often applicable when percentage adjustments are calculated and added, either in conjunction with other percentage adjustments or in combination with dollar adjustments.

The sequence of adjustments shown in Figure 13.1 is not the only order in which quantitative adjustments can be made. Adjustments may be applied in other sequences if the market and the appraiser's analysis of the data so indicate. Using the adjustment sequence, the appraiser applies successive adjustments to the prices of comparable properties.

Most property types other than one-unit residences are adjusted on a unit price basis. Property adjustments for location, physical characteristics, economic characteristics, use, and non-realty components are typically applied to a unit price.
Table 13.3 Sequence of Adjustments

| Element of Comparison | Market-Derived Adjustment | Adjustment Applied to Sale Price of Comparable Property |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sale price* |  | $\$ 400,000$ |
| Transactional adjustments |  |  |
| Adjustment for property rights conveyed | +5\% | + 20,000 |
| Adjusted price |  | \$420,000 |
| Adjustment for financing terms | -2\% | - $\begin{array}{r}140,000 \\ -\quad\end{array}$ |
| Adjusted price |  | \$411,600 |
| Adjustment for conditions of sale $\dagger$ | + 5\% | $\begin{array}{r}+\quad 20,580 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Adjusted price |  | \$432,180 |
| Adjustment for expenditures immediately after purchase | + \$20,000 | + \$20,000 |
| Adjusted price |  | \$452,180 |
| Adjustment for market conditions | +5\% | $\begin{array}{r}+\quad 22,609 \\ \hline\end{array}$ |
| Adjusted price |  | \$474,789 |
| Property adjustments |  |  |
| Adjustment for |  |  |
| Location | +3\% | + 14,244 |
| Physical characteristics | -5\% | - 23,739 |
| Economic characteristics | -5\% | - 23,739 |
| Use | +2\% | 9,496 |
| Non-realty components | + 3\% | + 14,244 |
| Indication of value |  | + 1465,294 |

* In the market data grid, the sale price could be converted into a unit price, such as price per square foot of leaseable area, and adjustments made to the unit price rather than the sale price.
$\dagger$ The effect of the conditions of sale on the adjusted sale price may already be reflected in the adjustment for financing terms, depending on how the adjustments are extracted from the market.

Interviewing the participants involved in the transaction may provide an indication of the magnitude of the adjustment, but sometimes the direction of an adjustment for conditions of sale may be all that can be determined. In the case of a distressed seller, an upward adjustment would probably be necessary to reflect the value the seller is not recapturing by accepting an expedient offer. The direction of a conditions of sale adjustment in transactions involving related parties may be more difficult to determine. Parents may accept a below-market price for a property to help their children pay for their first home, which would necessitate an upward adjustment if that sale were used as a comparable sale. Or younger members of a family may offer to purchase a property belonging to an older relative at a price higher than the market level so that they can keep the property in the family, which would suggest a downward adjustment is necessary. If the details of the transaction are too difficult to verify, an adjustment for conditions of sale may not be usable.

## Expenditures Made Immediately After Purchase

A knowledgeable buyer considers expenditures that will have to be made upon purchase of a property because these costs affect the price the buyer agrees to pay. Such expenditures may include

- Costs to cure deferred maintenance
- Costs to demolish and remove any portion of the improvements
- Costs to petition for a zoning change
- Costs to remediate environmental contamination

These costs are often quantified in price negotiations and can be discovered through verification of transaction data. The relevant figure is not the actual cost that was incurred but the cost that was anticipated by both the buyer and seller.

Generally an adjustment for expenditures made immediately after purchase is simple to quantify when transaction data is being verified with the market participants. For example, consider a $150,000-$ sq.-ft. warehouse that is comparable to the property being appraised and was recently sold for $\$ 850,000$. The new owner-occupant expected to spend $\$ 65,000$ to install an additional door and loading dock, which was a market-driven decision. In an interview with the new owner of the comparable property, the appraiser learns that the demolition and new construction actually cost $\$ 105,000$. The value indication for that comparable property would be $\$ 915,000(\$ 850,000+\$ 65,000)$ rather than $\$ 955,000(\$ 850,000+\$ 105,000)$ because the $\$ 65,000$ expenditure anticipated by the buyer was deducted from the price the property would command in the market if no expenditures were necessary. If the actual cost of the renovation had been $\$ 40,000$, the buyer would have enjoyed a $\$ 25,000$ savings $(\$ 65,000-\$ 40,000)$ from the expected cost, but those savings would not be reflected in the price the buyer was willing to pay, which is already an established fact.

Adjustments for deferred maintenance can be handled similarly, but the appraiser should make sure that the buyer and seller were aware of any items needing immediate repair. If the seller was not required to disclose that the roof of the warehouse had a leak and needed repairs, the buyer may not have anticipated those expenditures after the purchase, and there would be no adjustment to the recorded sale price for that item of deferred maintenance. Other items that a buyer may need to budget expenses immediately after purchase for include

- Cost of obtaining entitlements
- Demolition and removal costs
- Environmental remediation costs
- Large capital improvements needed at the time of sale

In sales comparison analysis, costs incurred by the new owners of comparable properties are reflected as positive adjustments to the sale prices of those properties. If the subject property requires some expenditure immediately after the purchase to reach its full utility, the adjustment amount is subtracted from the sale prices of all comparable sales that do not require a similar expenditure to adjust those transactions for differences from the subject property.

An adjustment for expenditures made immediately after purchase is distinct from an adjustment for the physical condition of a property. The expenditures adjustment is included among the transactional adjustments because it reflects those items that a buyer would have considered part of the price at the time of the sale. For example, a buyer bought a property that included a 6.75 -acre site improved with a $122,000-$ sq.-ft. industrial building with many environmental problems. The buyer told the appraiser the cost of removing the environmental problems was $\$ 750,000$. The sale price of the property was only $\$ 225,000$. The appraiser is considering using this as a comparable land sale, but the buyer actually has $\$ 975,000(\$ 750,000+\$ 225,000)$ invested in the property, not just the $\$ 225,000$ sale price. In the sequence of adjustments, an adjustment for expenditures made immediately after purchase is shown above the market conditions line, which means the market conditions adjustment would be made on the $\$ 975,000$ price, not the $\$ 225,000$ price.

Another application of this adjustment is for items that would affect the sale price but not necessarily the rental income. For example, the subject property is a 55,000-sq.-ft., three-story office building that has a new roof covering and three new. HVAC units. The cost of these items is $\$ 252,000$. A nearly identical property just sold for $\$ 5$ million, but this property needed a new roof covering and three new HVAC units. The rental rates of both buildings are the same, but the maintenance expense for the comparable property is much higher. The adjustment for the deferred maintenance items found in the comparable property could be made on the condition line of an adjustment grid or on the expenditures made immediately after purchase line. An adjustment made on the condition line would affect the capitalization rate that might be extracted from this sale.

## SALES COMPARISON APPROACH

Examples of elements of comparison:

- Unit mix
- Effective age
- Condition
- Location
- Quality
- Garages

Examples of units of comparison:

- Per Unit
- Per Room
- Per Bedroom
- Per Square Foot (GFA)
- Per Square Foot (NLA)


## CAPITALIZATION OF RENT DIFFERENCES TO DERIVE ADJUSTMENTS FOR USE IN THE SALES COMPARISON APPROACH

Paired data analysis which relies on rent differences can be utilized to derive adjustments in the Sales Comparison Approach. This is accomplished using the VIF formula:

$$
\frac{V}{I F} \quad V=I \times F
$$

The first step is to derive a Potential Gross Income Multiplier (PGIM) for the subject property from comparable sales. This will be the factor or multiplier that is utilized.

The second step is to identify two properties that are similar except for the element of comparison requiring an adjustment. The rent difference is then capitalized into an indication of value.

For example, there are two apartment properties that are similar except that one has recently been remodeled and the other has not. The property with the remodeled units has rents of $\$ 650$ per month and the property that has not been updated has rents of $\$ 620$ per month. Your market analysis indicates that PGIMs for similar properties are 6.0. What is the indicated difference in value?
$I=\$ 650-\$ 620=\$ 30 \times 12$ (months) = \$360 (annualized rent diff.) $\quad F=6.0$ (PGIM)
So $\$ 360 \times 6.0=\$ 2,160$ per unit
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## SALES COMPARISON APPROACH

The following grid presents information on five sales that are considered comparable to the subject property:

|  | Subject | Sale \#1 | Sale \#2 | Sale \#3 | Sale \#4 | Sale \#5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sale Date |  | 2 mo . ago | 4 mo . ago | 5 mo.ago | 1 mo . ago | $9 \mathrm{mo} . \mathrm{ago}$ |
| Gross Sales Price |  | \$541,400 | \$653,100 | \$640,500 | \$442,600 | \$638,500 |
| Personal Property |  | \$500/unit | \$500/unit | \$500/unit | \$500/unit | \$500/unit |
| Total Units | 12 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 8 | 12 |
| Unit Mix | 2 BR | 2 BR | 2BR | 2BR | 2BR | 2BR |
| Location | Ave | Fair | Ave | Fair | Ave | Ave |
| Condition | Good | Good | Good | Good | Good | Good |
| Number of Baths/Unit | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Rent/Unit |  | \$490 | \$500 | \$475 | \$500 | \$515 |

Using a Potential Gross Multiplier (PGIM) of 6.0 and a Market Conditions annual adjustment of $6.0 \%$, complete the following adjustment grid and derive a value indication for the subject property.

The following elements of comparison require adjustments:

## Location:

Baths:

## SALES COMPARISON APPROACH
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PROBLEM

| Gross Sales <br> Price |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Net Sales Price |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mkt. Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adjusted Sale <br> Price |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Location <br> Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bath Adjustment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Adjusted Sale <br> Price |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ASP per |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# of Adjustments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gross <br> Adjustments |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net Adjustments |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## SOLUTION
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|  | Subject | Sale \#1 | Sale \#2 | Sale \#3 | Sale \#4 | Sale \#5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sale Date |  | 2 mo . ago | 4 mo . ago | 5 mo . ago | 1 mo . ago | 9 mo . ago |
| Gross Sales Price |  | \$541,400 | \$653,100 | \$640,500 | \$442,600 | \$638,500 |
| Net Sales Price | -\$500/unit | \$536,400 | \$647,100 | \$634,500 | \$438,600 | 632,500 |
| Mkt. <br> Conditions | +.005/mo. | +5,364 | +12,942 | +15,862 | +2,193 | +28,462 |
| Adjusted Sale Price |  | \$541,764 | \$660,042 | \$650,362 | \$440,793 | 660,962 |
| Location Adjustment |  | +18.000 |  | +21,600 |  |  |
| Bath <br> Adjustment |  | -10,800 |  |  |  | -12,960 |
| Adjusted Sale Price |  | \$549,000 | \$660,000 | \$672,000 | \$440,800 | \$648,000 |
| ASP per unit |  | \$54,900 | \$55,000 | \$56,000 | \$55,100 | \$54,000 |
| \# of <br> Adjustments |  | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Gross <br> Adjustments |  | \$34,164 | \$12,942 | \$37,462 | \$2,193 | \$41,422 |
| Net <br> Adjustments |  | \$12,564 | \$12,942 | \$37,462 | \$2,193 | \$15,502 |

## Location:

Sale \# 1 \& Sale \# $5 \quad \$ 515$ - \$490 = \$25 per month
or
Sale \# 2 \& Sale \# $3 \quad \$ 500$ - $\$ 475$ = $\mathbf{\$ 2 5}$ per month
$(\$ 25 \times 12) \times 6.0=\$ 1,800$ per unit
Sale \#1 adjustment (Fair vs. Ave. Location) = \$1,800 x 10 units = +\$18,000
Sale \# 3 adjustment (Fair vs. Ave. Location) = \$1,800 $\times 12$ units $=+\$ 21,600$

## Baths:

Sale \#2 \& Sale \# $5 \quad \$ 515-\$ 500=\$ 15$ per month
or
Sale \#4 \& Sale \#5 $\quad \mathbf{5 1 5}-\$ 500=\$ 15$ per month
$(\$ 15 \times 12) \times 6.0=\$ 1,080$ per unit
Sale \#1 adjustment (1 vs. 2 baths) = \$1,080 x 10 units = $\mathbf{~ \$ 1 0 , 8 0 0 ~}$
Sale \#5 adjustment ( 1 vs. 2 baths) $=\$ 1,080 \times 12$ units $=-\$ 12,960$

## DIRECT CAPITALIZATION METHODS OF ESTIMATING THE OVERALL RATE (OAR)
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# DEVELOPMENT OF OVERALL RATE BAND-OF-INVESTMENT METHOD (Weighted Average of Debt and Equity Rates) 

| Financial <br> Components | Percent of <br> Investment |  | Rate |  | Product |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Debt | 0.80 | $X$ | $0.109044^{1}$ | $=$ | 0.087235 |
| Equity | 0.20 | $X$ | 0.14000 | $=$ | 0.028000 |
| Totals | 1.00 | Overall Rate (Ro) | $=$ | 0.115235 |  |

${ }^{1}$ The debt annual constant of 0.109044 is the ratio of the total mortgage payments for the year divided by the amount of money borrowed.

## Problem

Calculate an overall capitalization rate by the band of investment method using the information from Sample Comparable \#1 on pages 15-17. Your research indicates that Investors are requiring a $13 \%$ return on these types of properties.

## _DEVELOPMENT OF OVERALL RATE BAND-OF-INVESTMENT METHOD (Weighted Average of Debt and Equity Rates)

| Financial <br> Components | Percent of <br> Investment |  | Rate |  | Product |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Debt | 0.80 | X | $0.109044^{1}$ | $=$ | 0.087235 |
| Equity | 0.20 | X | 0.14000 | $=$ | 0.028000 |
| Totals | 1.00 | Overall Rate (Ro) | $=$ | 0.115235 |  |

${ }^{1}$ The debt annual constant of 0.109044 is the ratio of the total mortgage payments for the year divided by the amount of money borrowed.

## Solution

Calculate an overall capitalization rate by the band of investment method using the information from Sample Comparable \#1 on pages 15-17. Your research indicates that investors are requiring a $13 \%$ return on these types of properties

Debt $.75 \times .0739=.0554$
Equity $.25 \times .13=\underline{0325}$
OAR =. 0879 or $8.79 \%$

## DEVELOPMENT OF OVERALL CAPITALIZATION RATE Net Income Ratio Method

Formula of Overall Rate (Ro) using Net Income Ratio and Effective Gross Income Multiplier:

$$
R_{0} \quad=\quad \frac{\text { NIR }}{\text { Effective GIM }}
$$

Assume:
Net Income Ratio = 60\%
Effective Gross Income Multiplier = 4.8

$$
R_{0}=\frac{0.60}{4.8}=0.125 \quad \text { or } 12.5 \%
$$

## Problem

Calculate an overall capitalization rate by the net income ratio method using the information from Sample Comparable \#1 on pages 15-17

# debt coverage ratio method of computing the overall rate 


$I_{m}=$ Annual Debt Service

| $\mathbf{R o}_{\mathbf{o}}$ | $\mathbf{D C R}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{m}}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Debt Coverage Ratio |  | Mortgage Constant |  | Mortgage Ratio |

Assume:

| Net operating income | $\$ 700,000$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Annual debt service | $\$ 511,740$ |
| Loan-to-Value Ratio | $75 \%$ |
| Annual Mortgage Requirement | $11.19 \%$ |

Debt Coverage Ratio calculation:

$$
D C R=\frac{\$ 700,000}{\$ 511,740}=1.3679
$$

Overall Rate ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}$ ) calculation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{0} \quad & =1.3679 \times 0.1119 \times 0.75 \\
& =0.1148 \\
& =0.115 \text { (rounded) }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Problem

Calculate an overall capitalization rate by the debt coverage ratio method using the information from Sample Comparable \#1 on pages 15-17.

## DEVELOPMENT OF OVERALL CAPITALIZATION RATE Net Income Ratio Method

Formula of Overall Rate ( $\mathrm{R}_{0}$ ) using Net Income Ratio and Effective Gross Income Multiplier:

$$
R_{0} \quad=\quad \frac{\text { NIR }}{\text { Effective GIM }}
$$

## Solution

Calculate an overall capitalization rate by the net income ratio method using the information from Sample Comparable \#1 on pages 15-17

$$
R_{0}=\frac{0.52}{5.51}=0.0944 \quad \text { or } 9.44 \%
$$

## DEBT COVERAGE RATIO METHOD OF COMPUTING THE OVERALL RATE



## Solution

Calculate an overall capitalization rate by the debt coverage ratio method using the information from Sample Comparable \#1 on pages 15-17.
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## QUIZ \#1

1. The underlying principle which provides the basis of the income capitalization approach is:
A. Change
B. Balance
C. Conformity
D. Anticipation
2. The basic equation used in the income approach to value is:
A. Rate divided by income equals value
B. Income divided by rate equals value
C. Rate times income equals value
D. Rate plus income equals value
3. Which of the following is not a typical unit of comparison in the valuation of an apartment building?
A. price per acre
B. price per square foot
C. price per dwelling
D. price per room
4. The income approach to value:
A. is based on the principle of anticipation
B. translates the ability of property to generate income into an indication of value
C. requires an estimate of net operating income of property
D. all of the above
5. Value is created by the anticipation of :
A. Market Rent
B. Gross Income
C. Current Benefits
D. Future Benefits
6. Capitalization is the process used to:
A. Establish reproduction costs
B. Establish mortgage payments
C. Establish a depreciation schedule
D. Convert income into an estimate of value
7. The rental income that a property would most probably command in the open market is called:
A. Net Rent
B. Gross Rent
C. Market Rent
D. Contract Rent
8. Which of the following is not an allowable expense from the appraiser's point of view?
A. Advertising
B. Depreciation
C. Insurance
D. Maintenance
9. Why does an appraiser prepare a reconstructed operating statement when using the income approach?
A. To study historical trends of income in the market area.
B. To develop a true statement of profits since the owner's statement always shows a loss.
C. To develop an estimated projection of expected income and expense that will reflect the earning capacity of the property.
D. To compare to financial statements in the income approach.
10. The anticipated income from all operations of the property adjusted for vacancy and collection losses, and miscellaneous income is called:
A. Pre-Tax Income
B. Net Operating Income
C. Potential Gross Income
D. Effective Gross Income
11. Which of the following statements best describes the amount of adjustment an appraiser should make for vacancy allowance in a property?
A. 5 percent of gross income
B. 1 percent for each year the property has been rented
C. Somewhere between 5 percent and 10 percent
D. The amount will vary with each property
12. An allowance for vacancy and collection loss is usually estimated as a percentage
of:
A. Potential Gross Income
B. Effective Gross Income
C. Net Operating Income
D. Operating Expenses
13. If an income property has an annual effective gross income of $\$ 64,000$ with total expenses of $\$ 30,000$, what is the operating expense ratio?
A. 2.13
B. $\quad 0.27$
C. 0.73
D. $\quad 0.47$
14. In reconstructing an income statement for an apartment complex, you estimate that the potential gross income is $\$ 500,000$. The vacancy and collection loss allowance is 6 percent. If operating expenses are $\$ 205,000$, what is the operating expense ratio (rounded)?
A. 41 percent
B. 44 percent
C. 45 percent
D. Operating expense ratio cannot be determined without knowing the amount of the mortgage payment.
15. When calculating net operating income, which of the following expenses is not a proper deduction from gross income?
A. Maintenance Expense
B. Income Tax Expense
C. Insurance Expense
D. Management Expense
16. A reconstructed statement of net operating income should include which of the following?
A. Tax Depreciation
B. Management Charges
C. Additions to Capital
D. Mortgage Interest Payments

## Quiz \#1 Solutions

1. D
2. $B$
3. A
4. $\quad \mathrm{D}$
5. D
6. D
7. C
8. B
9. C
10. D
11. D
12. A
13. D
14. B
15. B
16. B

## Quiz \# 2

1. A property has a net operating income of $\$ 10,000$, interest payments of $\$ 8,000$ and principal payments of 1,000 . What is the debt coverage ratio (DCR)?
A. 0.80
B. $\quad 0.90$
C. $\quad 1.11$
D. 1.25
2. Given the following information:

Building Capitalization Rate: 0.11
Land Capitalization Rate: 0.09
Land Value as a percent of total value: 35\%
What is the overall capitalization rate by using the band-of-investment method?
A. 0.097
B. $\quad 0.100$
C. 0.103
D. 0.110
3. An apartment property is valued at $\$ 420,000$ and has a net income of $\$ 2,800$ per month. Calculate the overall capitalization rate for this investment.
A. . 0667
B. 0752
C. 0800
D. . 1250
4. Given the following data on a commercial property:

| Sale Price: | $\$ 100,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Land Value: | $40 \%$ |

Remaining Economic Life: 20 years
Net Operating Income: \$12,000
Tax Rate: 2\%

What is the discount rate for the property?
A. 070
B. .080
C. .090
D. . 110

Questions 5 and 6 are based on the following information:
Potential Gross Income: \$140,000
Vacancy and Collection Loss: 15\%
Operating Expense:
\$42,000
Mortgage Payment (Principle and Interest): \$51,800
Property Value:
Loan-to-Value Ratio:
\$700,000
0.70
5. What is the net operating income?
A. $\$ 63,000$
B. $\$ 77,000$
C. $\$ 98,000$
D. $\$ 83,000$
6. What is the overall capitalization rate?
A. 0.07
B. 0.11
C. 0.12
D. $\quad 0.17$
7. Use the following market data to develop an improvement (building) capitalization rate.

| Sales Price: | $\$ 500,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Land Value: | $\$ 100,000$ |
| Improvement (building) income: | $\$ 60,000$ |
| Tax Rate: | $2 \%$ |

First Mortgage (representing 50 percent of value): 6\%
Equity Rate (representing 50 percent of value): 10\%
A. 0.09
B. 0.10
C. 0.13
D. 0.15
8. Which of the following items is not needed to use the band-of-investment method of calculating a discount rate?
A. Reversion
B. Loan-to-Value Ratio
C. Rate for Equity
D. Rate of Debt
9. When estimating the market value of a fee simple estate, which of the following types of rent would be used?
A. Fee Rent
B. Contract Rent
C. Market Rent
D. Simple Rent
10. What is meant by the term discount rate?
A. The difference between the face amount of an obligation and the amount advanced or received.
B. The interest rate associated with the loan on a property.
C. The annual return on the total property investment.
D. The annual mortgage payment divided by the loan principal.
11. The percentage of depreciable asset that must be recaptured annually during the remaining economic life of the property is the:
A. Effective Tax Rate
B. After-Tax Rate
C. Recapture Rate
D. Nominal Interest Rate
12. The rate that is the percentage that annual real estate taxes are in relation to the property's total value is:
A. Effective Tax Rate
B. After-Tax Rate
C. Recapture Rate
D. Nominal Interest Rate
13. The components of the improvement capitalization rate are:
A. discount rate, effective tax rate, nominal interest rate
B. effective tax rate, recapture rate, discount rate
C. effective tax rate, discount rate, net income rate
D. discount rate, effective tax rate, net income rate
14. Develop the discount rate from the following data:

First mortgage of $60 \%$ of value at a return of $10 \%$
Second mortgage of $20 \%$ of value at a return of $11 \%$
Equity position requires a return of $14 \%$
A. $\quad 0.100$
B. 0.105
C. $\quad 0.110$
D. 0.115

Questions 15 and 16 are based on the following data:
Discount Rate:
9.5\%

Remaining Economic Life: 25 years Tax Rate: $2 \%$
15. What is the improvement (building) capitalization rate?
A. $\quad 0.115$
B. 0.125
C. 0.145
D. 0.155
16. What is the land capitalization rate?
A. $\quad 0.115$
B. 0.125
C. 0.145
D. 0.155
17. The ratio of net operating income to effective gross income is called:
A. Land Capitalization Rate
B. Net Income Ratio
C. Operating Expense Ratio
D. Effective Gross Income Ratio
18. In a recent sale, the gross potential income was $\$ 45,000$, net operating income was $\$ 20,000$, and debt service was $\$ 18,500$. What is the debt coverage ratio (DCR)?
A. $\quad 1.08$
B. $\quad 1.17$
C. 2.25
D. 2.43
19. Calculate the effective tax rate based on the following data:

Tax: $\$ 4,375$
Market Value: $\quad \$ 125,000$
A. 0.015
B. 0.025
C. 0.035
D. 0.045
20. Derive the recapture rate using the market comparison method given the following data:

| Sale Price: | $\$ 500,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Land Value: | $\$ 100,000$ |
| Net Income: | $\$ 63,500$ |
| Discount Rate: | 0.085 |
| Effective Tax Rate: | 0.022 |

A. 0.020
B. 0.025
C. 0.250
D. 0.205
21. Which of the following is not one of the methods of developing an overall capitalization rate?
A. Management Ratio
B. Band-of-Investment
C. Net Income Ratio
D. Debt Coverage Ratio
22. The effective gross income for a commercial property is $\$ 104,000$ and the operating expenses for similar properties amount to $40 \%$ of effective gross income. The commercial property sold recently for $\$ 499,200$. What is the overall capitalization rate?
A. 0.0833
B. 0.8333
C. $\quad 0.0125$
D. 0.1250
23. Use the following market data to develop a land capitalization rate.

| Sale Price: | $\$ 500,000$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Improvement Value: | $\$ 400,000$ |
| Land Income: | $\$ 10,000$ |
| Tax Rate: | $2 \%$ |

First Mortgage (represents 50\% of value):6\%
Equity Rate (represents $50 \%$ of value): $10 \%$
A. 0.08
B. 0.09
C. 0.10
D. 0.11
24. A gross income multiplier (GIM) as used in a commercial appraisal, is obtained by dividing the:
A. Sale price by annual potential or effective gross income
B. Sale price by monthly potential gross income
C. Overall capitalization rate by the sale price
D. Annual effective gross income by the sale price

## Quiz 2 Solutions

1. C

DCR $=\mathrm{NOI} \div$ DS so... $10,000 \div 9,000=\mathbf{1 . 1 1}$
2. C
$.35 \times .09=.0315$
$.65 \times .011=.0715$
. 1030
3. C $33,600 \div 420,000=.08$
4. A

| I | R | V |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 12,000 | D__ | L 40,000 |
| $(3,000)$ | R .05 | B 60,000 |
| $(2,000)$ | T .02 | T 100,000 |
| $7,000 \div 100,000=.07$ |  |  |

5. B
6. B
7. D

60,000 (bldg. income) $\div 400,000$ ( bldg. value) $=\mathbf{0 . 1 5}$
8. A
9. C
10. C
11. C
12. A
13. B
14. C
$.60 \times .10=.06$
$.20 \times .11=.022$
$.20 \times .14=.028$
.110

| 15. D | .095 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | .04 | $1 \div 25=.04$ |
|  | .02 |  |

16. A . 095
.020
.115
17. B
18. $A \quad 20,000 \div 18,500=1.08$
19. $C \quad 4,375 \div 125,000=.035$
20. B

| l | R | V |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{6 3 , 5 0 0}$ | D .085 | $\mathrm{~L} 100,000$ |
| $(42,500)$ | $\mathrm{R}-$ | B 400,000 |
| $\frac{(11,000)}{10,000} \div$ | T . 022 | T 500,000 |
| $400,000=.025$ |  |  |

21. A
22. D $62,400 \div 499,200=.125$
23. $C \quad 10,000 \div 100,000=.10$
24. A

## Quiz \# 3

1. The residual technique used by the appraiser reflects:
A. the manner in which recapture is received
B. the known or unknown values of land, improvements or total property
C. the quality of the income
D. the shape of the income stream
2. Given the following information:

Gross economic income $\$ 84,000$
Vacancy and Collection: 3\%
Allowable Expenses: $18 \%$ of effective gross income
Discount: 7\%
Tax Rate: $\quad 2.6 \%$
Remaining Economic Life of Improvement:50 years
Improvement Value: \$375,000
Estimate the value of this property using the land residual technique (round answer to nearest $\$ 100$ ).
A. $\$ 584,300$
B. $\$ 617,900$
C. $\$ 475,000$
D. $\$ 640,000$
3. A gross income multiplier (GIM), as used in a commercial appraisal, is obtained by dividing the:
A. sale price by the annual potential or effective gross income
B. sale price by monthly potential gross income
C. overall capitalization rate by the sale price
D. annual effective gross income by the sale price
4. An apartment property is valued at $\$ 420,000$ and has a net income of $\$ 2,800$ per month. Calculate the overall investment.
A. $6.67 \%$
B. $7.52 \%$
C. $8.00 \%$
D. $12.50 \%$
5. Direct capitalization is appropriate when the overall rate is developed from sales in which:
A. The land-to-building ratios are similar to those of the subject property.
B. The remaining economic lives are similar to those of the subject property.
C. The income and expense ratios are similar to those of the subject property.
D. All of the above.

Preparing for the Minnesota Income Property Case Study Exam
6. Given the following information on a commercial property:

| Sale Price: | $\$ 300,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Land Value: | $40 \%$ |

Remaining Economic Life: 20 years
Net Operating Income: \$36,000
Tax Rate: $2 \%$

Compute the discount rate for the property.
A. $7.0 \%$
B. $8.0 \%$
C. $9.0 \%$
D. $11.0 \%$
7. Given the following information:

Discount Rate: 6.2\%
Recapture Rate: 4.0\%
Effective Tax Rate: 2.0\%
Improvements represent 70\% of the total property value.
What is the overall rate for this property?
A. $5.74 \%$
B. $8.54 \%$
C. $9.50 \%$
D. $11.0 \%$
8. The subject property's net income is $\$ 15,000$ per year. Comparable investments, which have sold are reported below.

| Comparable | Net Income | Sales Price |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $\$ 14,400$ | $\$ 120,000$ |
| 2 | $\$ 14,000$ | $\$ 147,400$ |
| 3 | $\$ 13,500$ | $\$ 122,700$ |
| 4 | $\$ 14,500$ | $\$ 152,600$ |

All of the comparables sold recently and comparables 2 and 4 were most similar to the subject property. Using direct capitalization with an overall rate, what is the best estimate of the value of the subject property (rounded to the nearest $\$ 1,000$ )?
A. $\$ 125,000$
B. $\$ 137,000$
C. $\$ 143,000$
D. $\$ 158,000$
9. You are appraising a commercial property. You have net operating income of $\$ 100,000$. You estimate the discount rate to be 10 percent, the recapture rate to be 4 percent, and the effective tax rate to be 1 percent. Land value is $\$ 200,000$. What is the indicated value of the property using the building residual technique?
A. $\$ 520,000$
B. $\$ 720,000$
C. $\$ 780,000$
D. $\$ 220,000$
10. An income property appraisal technique where the property's discount rate is derived from weighting mortgage and equity rates is referred to as:
A. discounting
B. band-of-investment technique
C. yield capitalization
D. discounted cash flow analysis
11. Given the following information:

Building capitalization rate
0.14

Land capitalization rate
0.115

Land value as a percent of total value
20 percent
What is the overall capitalization rate by using the band-of-investment method?
A. .112
B. .120
C. .125
D. 135
12. The building capitalization rate is composed of what components?
A. discount rate, effective tax rate, annuity rate
B. effective tax rate, annuity rate, recapture rate
C. discount rate, effective tax rate, recapture rate
D. effective tax rate, recapture rate, mark-up rate
13. A property has a land value of $\$ 100,000$, a net operating income of $\$ 35,000$, a land capitalization rate of 10 percent, and a building capitalization rate of $121 / 2$ percent. What is the value of the subject property?
A. $\$ 150,000$
B. $\$ 200,000$
C. $\$ 250,000$
D. $\$ 300,000$

Questions 20 and 21 are based on the following information.

| Property sold recently for: | $\$ 500,000$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Potential gross income: | $\$ 100,000$ |
| Vacancy and collection loss: | 15 percent |
| Operating expenses | $\$ 30,000$ |
| Mortgage payment | $\$ 37,000$ |
| Loan-to-value ratio | 0.70 |

14. What is the net operating income?
A. $\$ 55,000$
B. $\$ 65,000$
C. $\$ 70,000$
D. $\$ 85,000$
15. What is the indicated potential gross income multiplier?
A. 4
B. 5
C. 7
D. 8

## Quiz \#3 - Solutions

1. $B$
2. $B$

$23,314 \div .096=\quad 242,854+375,000=617,854$
(Land Income) $\div($ Land Rate $)=$ Land Value + Bldg. Value $=$ Total Value
3. A
4. $C \quad 33,600 \div 420,000=.080$
5. D
6. A
$36,000 \div 300,000=.120$ OAR
$1 \div 20=.05 \quad .05 \times .60=$ (.030) Recapture Rate (.02) ETR
. 07 Discount Rate
7. D $.04 \times .70=\quad .062$ . 02 .110
8. D $15,000 \div .095=157,894$
9. B

| l | R | V |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 100,000 | D .10 | $\mathrm{~L} 200,000$ |
| $\frac{(22,000)}{78,000}$ | R .04 | B |
|  | T .01 | T |

$78,000 \div .15=520,000$
(Bldg. Income) $\div($ Bldg. Rate $)=$ Bldg. Value $\quad 520,000+200,000=720,000$
10. B
11. D $.20 \times .115=.023$
$.80 \times .14=.1120$
.135
12. C
13. D $100,000 \times \quad .10=10,000$ (Land Value) $\times$ (Land Rate) $=$ Land Income
NOI 35,000
Land Income $\underline{(10,000)}$
Bldg. Income 25,000 $\div .125=200,000+100,000=\mathbf{3 0 0 , 0 0 0}$
$($ Bldg. Rate $)=$ Bldg. Value + Land Value $=$ Total Value
14. A
15. B $500,000 \div 100,000=5.0$

# DEVELOPMENT OF AN OVERALL CAPITALIZATION RATE Net Income Ratio Method 

## PROBLEM

Using the following market data answer questions 1 through 7.
A 10-unit apartment complex is receiving market rents of $\$ 600$ per month. Vacancy and collection losses are projected to be six percent. Expenses are forecast to be $\$ 22,500$. The property recently sold for $\$ 439,930$.

1. What is the potential gross income?
2. What is the effective gross income?
3. What is the net operating income?
4. What is the expense ratio?
5. What is the net income ratio?
6. What is the effective gross income multiplier?
7. What is the overall capitalization rate?

# DEVELOPMENT OF AN OVERALL CAPITALIZATION RATE Net Income Ratio Method 

## SOLUTION

1. What is the potential gross income?

10 apartments $\times 12$ months $\times \$ 600 /$ month $=\$ 72,000$
2. What is the effective gross income (EGI)?

Potential Gross Income \$72,000
Less Vacancy \& Collection Loss @ 6\% -4,320 Effective Gross Income (EGI)
3. What is the net operating income?

Effective Gross Income \$67,680
Less Operating Expenses $\quad \underline{-22,500}$
Net Operating Income $\$ 45,180$
4. What is the expense ratio (OER)?

Operating expenses divided by Effective Gross Income = OER
$\$ 22,500 \div \$ 67,680=33.24 \%$
5. What is the net income ratio?

1 = OER or Net Operating Income divided by Effective Gross Income $100 \%=33.24 \%=66.76 \%$ or $\$ 45,180 \div \$ 67,680=66.76 \%$
6. What is the effective gross income multiplier?

EGIM = Sale Price divided by Effective Gross Income $\$ 439,930 \div \$ 67,680=6.5$ (EGIM)
7. What is the overall capitalization rate?

Net Income Ratio divided by the Gross Income Multiplier = Ro $66.76 \% \div 6.5=10.27 \%$ (OAR)

## COMPUTATION OF OVERALL RATE BY VARIOUS METHODS

## PROBLEM

Given the following information:

- Sales Price
\$2,500,000
- Land Value
- First Mortgage ( $75 \%$ of total value)
- Equity Rate
\$500,000
8.00\%
- Net Operating Income
- Tax Class Rate
- Tax Capacity Tax Ext. Rate
12.00\%
- Remaining Economic Life
- Annual Mortgage Constant ( $\mathrm{Rm}_{\mathrm{m}}$ )
\$330,000
1.25\%
- Effective Gross Income
120.35\%
- Operating Expense Ratio

25 Years
0.10
\$423,076.92

Compute the Overall Capitalization Rate ( $\mathrm{R}_{0}$ ) by using:
A. Debt Coverage Ratio Method
B. Net Income Ratio Method
C. IRV Formula (Comparable Sales Method)

What is the:
D. Effective tax rate
E. Recapture Rate (straight-line method)

## COMPUTATION OF OVERALL RATE BY VARIOUS METHODS

## SOLUTION

## Given the following information:

- Sale Price
- Land Value
- First Mortgage ( $75 \%$ Of total value)
- Equity Rate
- Net Operating Income
- Tax Class Rate
- Tax Capacity Tax Ext. Rate
- Remaining Economic Life
- Annual Mortgage Constant (Rm)
- Effective Gross Income
- Operating Expense Ratio
\$2,500,000
500,000
8.00\%
12.00\%
\$330,000
1.25\%
120.35\%

25 yrs.
0.10
\$423,076.92
22\%

## Solution:

A. $D C R=\$ 330,000$
$\$ 187,500=1.76 \times .10 \times .75=.132$
B. $\vee \$ 2,500,000=5.909$

IF $\$ 423,077 \quad$ EGIM $5.909=.132$
C. $\$ 330,000 / \$ 2,500,000=.132$
D. $.0125 \times 1.2035=.015$
E. $\frac{1}{25}=.04$

## DEVELOPING RATES FROM MARKET DATA

## SUPPLEMENTAL PROBLEM

| Sale \# | Sales Price | Land Value | Net <br> Income | Discount <br> Rate | Ruilding <br> Recapture <br> Rate | Effective <br> Tax Rate | Overall <br> Rate |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $\$ 500,000$ | $\$ 200,000$ |  | $10.00 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ |  | $12.00 \%$ |
| 2 | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 50,000$ | $\$ 41,000$ | $12.00 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ |  |
| 3 | $\$ 100,000$ | $\$ 40,000$ |  |  | $2.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $14.20 \%$ |
| 4 | $\$ 90,000$ | $\$ 30,000$ |  | $10.00 \%$ |  | $2.00 \%$ | $14.67 \%$ |
| 5 | $\$ 110,000$ | $\$ 40,000$ | $\$ 18,200$ |  | $4.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ |  |
| 6 | $\$ 480,000$ | $\$ 80,000$ |  | $11.00 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ | $1.50 \%$ |  |
| 7 | $\$ 300,000$ | $\$ 100,000$ | $\$ 50,000$ | $12.00 \%$ | $4.00 \%$ |  |  |
| 8 | $\$ 60,000$ | $\$ 10,000$ | $\$ 11,500$ | $14.00 \%$ |  | $1.00 \%$ | $19.17 \%$ |
| 9 | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 40,000$ | $\$ 18,000$ | $11.00 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ |  |  |
| 10 | $\$ 900,000$ | $\$ 200,000$ | $\$ 158,500$ |  | $4.00 \%$ | $2.50 \%$ |  |

Fill in the blanks in the above table by using the market comparison techniques discussed in this section.

## DEVELOPING RATES FROM MARKET DATA

SUPPLEMENTAL - SOLUTION

| Sale \# | Sales Price | Land Value | Net <br> Income | Discount <br> Rate | Ruilding <br> Recapture <br> Rate | Effective <br> Tax Rate | Overall <br> Rate |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1 | $\$ 500,000$ | $\$ 200,000$ | $\$ 60,000$ | $10.00 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ | $0.80 \%$ | $12.00 \%$ |
| 2 | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 50,000$ | $\$ 41,000$ | $12.00 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ | $16.40 \%$ |
| 3 | $\$ 100,000$ | $\$ 40,000$ | $\$ 14,200$ | $12.00 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $14.20 \%$ |
| 4 | $\$ 90,000$ | $\$ 30,000$ | $\$ 13,203$ | $10.00 \%$ | $4.00 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ | $14.67 \%$ |
| 5 | $\$ 110,000$ | $\$ 40,000$ | $\$ 18,200$ | $12.99 \%$ | $4.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $16.55 \%$ |
| 6 | $\$ 480,000$ | $\$ 80,000$ | $\$ 72,000$ | $11.00 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ | $1.50 \%$ | $15.00 \%$ |
| 7 | $\$ 300,000$ | $\$ 100,000$ | $\$ 50,000$ | $12.00 \%$ | $4.00 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ | $16.67 \%$ |
| 8 | $\$ 60,000$ | $\$ 10,000$ | $\$ 11,500$ | $14.00 \%$ | $5.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $19.17 \%$ |
| 9 | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 40,000$ | $\$ 18,000$ | $11.00 \%$ | $2.00 \%$ | $2.67 \%$ | $15.00 \%$ |
| 10 | $\$ 900,000$ | $\$ 200,000$ | $\$ 158,500$ | $11.99 \%$ | $4.00 \%$ | $2.50 \%$ | $17.61 \%$ |

## 1. Net Operating Income

Net operating income $=$ Ro $x \mathrm{~V}=0.12 \times \$ 500,000=\$ 60,000$

## Effective Tax Rate

Net operating income =
\$60,000
Less: Discount income ( $\$ 500,000 \times 0.10$ )

- 50,000

Recapture income (\$300,000 x .02)
Income necessary to pay real estate taxes
$\begin{array}{r}6,000 \\ \hline \$ 4,000\end{array}$
Effective Tax Rate $=(\$ 4,000 \div \$ 500,000)$
0.008 or $.80 \%$

Or
Recapture Rate $2.00 \% \times$. 60 building value $=1.20$ (recapture rate in OAR)
OAR 12.00\%
minus Discount Rate 10.00\%
minus Recapture Rate 1.20\%
Effective Tax Rate .80\%
2. Overall Rate ( $R_{0}$ )
$\mathrm{R}_{0}=\mathrm{I} \div \mathrm{V} \quad \mathrm{R}_{0}=\$ 41,000 \div \$ 250,000=0.164$ or $16.4 \%$

## 3. Net Operating Income

Net operating income $=R_{0} \times V=0.142 \times \$ 100,000=$
\$14,200

## Discount Rate

| $=$ OAR | $14.20 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| minus Recapture Rate | $1.20 \%$ | (2.00\% x .60) |
| minus Effective Tax Rate | $1.00 \%$ |  |
| Discount Rate | $12.00 \%$ |  |

4. Net Operating Income

Net operating income $=\mathrm{Ro}_{0} \times \mathrm{V}=0.1467 \times \$ 90,000=$
\$13,203

## Building Recapture Rate

| = OAR | $14.67 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| minus Effective Tax Rate | $2.00 \%$ |
| minus Discount Rate | $10.00 \%$ |
| Recapture Rate in OAR | $2.67 \div$ |
| Percent Building Value | $.6667=$ |
| Building Recapture Rate | $4.00 \%$ |

5. Overall Rate ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}$ )
$\mathrm{R}_{0}=\mathrm{I} \div \mathrm{V} \quad \mathrm{R}_{0}=\$ 18,200 \div \$ 110,000=0.1655$ or $16.55 \%$
Discount Rate

| = OAR | $16.55 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| minus Recapture Rate | $2.56 \%$ |
| minus Effective Tax Rate | $1.00 \%$ |
| (iscount Rate | $12.09 \%$ |

6. Overall Rate (Ro)

| = Discount Rate | $11.00 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| plus Recapture Rate | $2.50 \%$ (3.00\% x .833) |
| plus Effective Tax Rate | $1.50 \%$ |
| Overall Rate | $15.00 \%$ |

Net Operating Income
$R_{0} \times V=0.15 \times \$ 480,000=\$ 72,000$

## 7. Overall Rate (Ro)

Preparing for the Minnesota Income Property Case Study Exam

$$
R_{0}=I \div V \quad R_{0}=\$ 50,000 \div \$ 300,000=0.1667 \text { or } 16.67 \%
$$

## Effective Tax Rate

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
=\text { OAR } & 16.67 \% \\
\text { minus Discount Rate } & 12.00 \% \\
\text { minus Recapture Rate } & 2.67 \% \\
\hline \text { Effective Tax Rate } & 2.00 \%
\end{array} \text { (4.00\% x .667) }
$$

8. Building Recapture Rate

| = OAR | $19.17 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| minus Effective Tax Rate | $1.00 \%$ |
| minus Discount Rate | $14.00 \%$ |
| Recapture Rate in OAR | $4.17 \div$ |
| Percent Building Value | $.833=$ |
| Building Recapture Rate | $5.00 \%$ |

9. Overall Rate ( $\mathbf{R o}_{\mathbf{o}}$ )
$R_{0}=I \div V \quad R_{0}=\$ 18,000 \div \$ 120,000=0.15$ or $15 \%$

## Effective Tax Rate

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
=\text { OAR } & 15.00 \% \\
\text { minus Discount Rate } & 11.00 \% \\
\text { minus Recapture Rate } & 1.33 \% \\
\hline \text { Effective Tax Rate } & 2.67 \%
\end{array} \quad \text { (2.00\% x .667) }
$$

10. Overall Rate ( $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{o}}$ )
$R_{0}=I \div V \quad R_{0}=\$ 158,000 \div \$ 900,000=0.1761$ or $17.61 \%$

## Discount Rate

| = OAR | $17.61 \%$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| minus Recapture Rate | $3.12 \%$ | (4.00\% x |
| minus Effective Tax Rate | $2.50 \%$ |  |
| Discount Rate | $11.99 \%$ |  |

## STATISTICS REVIEW

## MEASURES OF CENTRAL TENDENCY

Measures of central tendency describe the overall level at which properties are assessed. The first step in calculating any measure of central tendency is to calculate an individual ratio for each sale.

Sales Ratio - The sales ratio is determined by dividing assessed value by sale price.

$$
\text { Sales Ratio }=\frac{\text { Assessor EMV }}{\text { Sales Price }}
$$

| Assessor EMV |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 1230,500$ |  |
| $\$$ | 197,500 |
| $\$$ | 168,000 |
| $\$$ | 197,800 |
| $\$$ | 175,800 |
| $\$$ | 221,000 |
| $\$$ | 195,800 |


| Sales Price | Sales Ratio |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\$ 259,000$ | .89 |
| $\$ 250,000$ | .79 |
| $\$ 200,000$ | .84 |
| $\$ 215,000$ | .92 |
| $\$ 217,000$ | .81 |
| $\$ 260,000$ | .85 |
| $\$ 225,000$ | .87 |
| $\$ 1,626,000$ |  |

Array - Arrangement of ratios in order of magnitude from highest to lowest (or visa versa).

```
. }79.81 .84 . 85 . 87 . 89 . 92
```

Mean Ratio- The mathematical average of the ratios. Add all ratios together and divide by the number of ratios.

| . | .81 | .84 | .85 | .87 | .89 | $.92=5.97$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Mean Ratio

Aggregate Mean Ratio - also called Weighted Mean. The aggregate mean ratio is determined by dividing the total Assessor's EVMs for all properties by the total sales prices of all properties. This ratio is used to calculate the Price-Related Differential.

Aggregate Mean Ratio = Sum of all Assessor's EMVs Sum of all Sales Prices

STATISTICS REVIEW (continued)

$$
\text { Aggregate Mean Ratio }=\frac{\$ 1,386,400}{\$ 1,626,000}=.853 \text { Aggregate Mean Ratio }
$$

Median Ratio - The midpoint or middle ratio in a group of ratios arranged from highest to lowest (or visa versa). When there is an even number of ratios, the median is found by adding the two midpoint ratios together and dividing by two.

```
.79 . }81.84\quad.85 .87 . 89 . 92
    Median
(---- . 81 . 84 . 85 . 87 . 89 .92)
    .86 Median (.85+.87 = 1.72 divided by 2 = .86)
```


## MEASURES OF UNIFORMITY

Measures of uniformity measure the quality and uniformity of the assessment.

Range - The difference between the largest ratio and the smallest ratio. A large range typically indicates poor uniformity. However, the range is highly susceptible to extreme ratios.

> Range = Largest Ratio - Smallest Ratio

$$
.92-.79=.13 \text { Range }
$$

Average Absolute Deviation - The average difference between each individual ratio and the median ratio. Add each absolute (disregard +/-) deviation together and divide by the number of ratios. This statistic is used to calculate the COD.

| Individual Ratio | Median | Deviation | Absolute Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . 79 | . 85 | -. 06 | . 06 |
| . 81 | . 85 | -. 04 | . 04 |
| . 84 | . 85 | -. 01 | . 01 |
| . 85 | . 85 | . 00 | . 00 |
| . 87 | . 85 | . 02 | . 02 |
| . 89 | . 85 | . 04 | . 04 |
| . 92 | . 85 | . 07 | . 07 |
|  |  |  | . 24 |

$$
\mathrm{AAD}=.24 \text { divided by } 7=\text { Average Absolute Deviation } .034
$$

## STATISTICS REVIEW (continued)

Coefficient of Dispersion - A measure of uniformity indicating the degree to which individual ratios vary from the median. A low COD indicates a uniform assessment. A high COD indicates a non-uniform assessment.
IAAO standards suggest single family residential CODs should generally be less than 15. A COD under 10 is considered excellent uniformity.
COD $=\frac{\text { Average Absolute Deviation }}{\text { Median }} \mathrm{X} 100$

$$
\mathrm{COD}=\frac{.034}{.85} \times 100=\text { COD } 4.00
$$

Price Related Differential - Measures the relationship between the mean ratio and the aggregate mean ratio. Divide the mean ratio by the aggregate mean ratio and then multiply by 100. A PRD of 100 is desirable. Based on IAAO guidelines, PRDs between 98 and 103 would still be considered acceptable.

Appraisal uniformity is said to be Regressive if high-value properties are under assessed compared to low-value properties. PRD is greater than 103

Appraisal uniformity is said to be Progressive if high-value properties are overassessed compared to low-value properties. PRD is less than 98
PRD $=\frac{\text { Mean }}{\text { Aggregate Mean }}$ X 100
PRD $=\frac{.853}{.853} \times 100=$ PRD 100

## PROBLEM 7-1

Round to three decimals in all calculations - 1.111 or 0.999
Calculate the individual sales ratios:

| Sale <br> No. | Address | Sale <br> Date | Sale Price | Assessor's <br> 2004 EMV | Sales <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 552 Maple St. | Dec-03 | $\$ 212,000$ | $\$ 213,000$ |  |
| 2 | 46 Bluebird St. | Feb-04 | $\$ 228,000$ | $\$ 219,000$ |  |
| 3 | 103 Maple St. | Apr-04 | $\$ 289,000$ | $\$ 221,000$ |  |
| 4 | 124 Elm St. | Oct-03 | $\$ 188,000$ | $\$ 199,000$ |  |
| 5 | 133 Oak St. | May-04 | $\$ 350,000$ | $\$ 234,000$ |  |
| 6 | 224 Pine St. | Mar-04 | $\$ 333,000$ | $\$ 232,000$ |  |
| 7 | 466 Oak St. | Apr-04 | $\$ 360,000$ | $\$ 265,000$ |  |
| 8 | 251 Ash St. | Nov-03 | $\$ 308,000$ | $\$ 254,000$ |  |
| 9 | 356 Walnut St. | Aug-04 | $\$ 230,000$ | $\$ 221,000$ |  |
| 10 | 52 Robin Way | Jan-04 | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 246,000$ |  |
| 11 | 62 Finch Way | May-04 | $\$ 300,000$ | $\$ 208,000$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Mean: $\qquad$ Weighted Mean Ratio: $\qquad$
Array ratios:

| Array Ratios | Absolute <br> Deviation from <br> Median Ratio |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Median: $\qquad$

Range: $\qquad$

Average Absolute Deviation from the Median - AAD: $\qquad$

COD: $\qquad$

PRD: $\qquad$

PROBLEM 7-1 (continued)

What determinations can you make about the assessment's quality and uniformity?

## PROBLEM 7-2

Round to three decimals in all calculations - 1.111 or 0.999
Calculate the individual sales ratios:

| Sale <br> No. | Address | Sale <br> Date | Sale Price | Assessor's <br> 2004 EMV | Sales <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $12813^{\text {th }}$ St. | Dec-03 | $\$ 175,000$ | $\$ 157,500$ |  |
| 2 | $56418^{\text {th }}$ St. | Feb-04 | $\$ 164,000$ | $\$ 142,700$ |  |
| 3 | $22315^{\text {th }}$ St. | May-04 | $\$ 154,000$ | $\$ 126,300$ |  |
| 4 | 103 View Ln. | Oct-03 | $\$ 193,000$ | $\$ 154,400$ |  |
| 5 | $40011^{\text {th }}$ St. | Apr-04 | $\$ 187,000$ | $\$ 166,400$ |  |
| 6 | $34816^{\text {th }}$ St. | May-04 | $\$ 171,000$ | $\$ 131,700$ |  |
| 7 | 222 Look Ln. | Aug-04 | $\$ 198,000$ | $\$ 182,200$ |  |
| 8 | $55117^{\text {th }}$ St. | Mar-04 | $\$ 159,000$ | $\$ 144,700$ |  |
| 9 | $45415^{\text {th }}$ St. | Jan-04 | $\$ 177,000$ | $\$ 146,900$ |  |
| 10 | $36712^{\text {th }}$ St. | Nov-03 | $\$ 149,000$ | $\$ 140,100$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Mean: $\qquad$ Weighted Mean Ratio: $\qquad$
Array ratios:

| Array Ratios | Absolute <br> Deviation from <br> Median Ratio |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Median: $\qquad$

Range: $\qquad$

Average Absolute Deviation from the Median - AAD: $\qquad$

COD: $\qquad$

PRD: $\qquad$
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## PROBLEM 7-2(continued)

What determinations can you make about the assessment's quality and uniformity?

## PROBLEM 7-1

## SOLUTION

## SALES STUDY PROBLEM \#1

Round to three decimals in all calculations - 1.111 or 0.999
Calculate the individual sales ratios:

| Sale <br> No. | Address | Sale <br> Date | Sale Price | Assessor's <br> 2004 EMV | Sales <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 552 Maple St. | Dec-03 | $\$ 212,000$ | $\$ 213,000$ | 1.005 |
| 2 | 46 Bluebird St. | Feb-04 | $\$ 228,000$ | $\$ 219,000$ | 0.961 |
| 3 | 103 Maple St. | Apr-04 | $\$ 289,000$ | $\$ 221,000$ | 0.765 |
| 4 | 124 Elm St. | Oct-03 | $\$ 188,000$ | $\$ 199,000$ | 1.059 |
| 5 | 133 Oak St. | May-04 | $\$ 350,000$ | $\$ 234,000$ | 0.669 |
| 6 | 224 Pine St. | Mar-04 | $\$ 333,000$ | $\$ 232,000$ | 0.697 |
| 7 | 466 Oak St. | Apr-04 | $\$ 360,000$ | $\$ 265,000$ | 0.736 |
| 8 | 251 Ash St. | Nov-03 | $\$ 308,000$ | $\$ 254,000$ | 0.825 |
| 9 | 356 Walnut St. | Aug-04 | $\$ 230,000$ | $\$ 221,000$ | 0.961 |
| 10 | 52 Robin Way | Jan-04 | $\$ 250,000$ | $\$ 246,000$ | 0.984 |
| 11 | 62 Finch Way | May-04 | $\$ 300,000$ | $\$ 208,000$ | 0.693 |
|  |  | Totals | $\$ 3,048,000$ | $\$ 2,512,000$ | 9.355 |


Array ratios:

| Array Ratios | Absolute <br> Deviation from <br> Median Ratio |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.669 | .156 |
| 0.693 | .132 |
| 0.697 | .128 |
| 0.736 | .089 |
| 0.765 | .060 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 8 2 5}$ | .000 |
| 0.961 | .136 |
| 0.961 | .136 |
| 0.984 | .159 |
| 1.005 | .180 |
| 1.059 | .234 |
| Total | 1.410 |

Median: $\qquad$ 0.825

Range: $\underline{0.669-1.059=0.390}$

Average Absolute Deviation from the Median - AAD: $1.410 / 11=\mathbf{0 . 1 2 8}$

COD

$$
0.128 / 0.825 \times 100=15.515
$$

PRD:
$\underline{0.850 / 0.824 \times 100=103.15}$

## PROBLEM 7-1

## SOLUTION:

What determinations can you make about the assessment's quality and uniformity?

The COD over 15.00 indicates that the assessment is not uniform. There is a large range between the highest and lowest ratios, which further illustrates that there is a great deal of dispersion and the quality of the assessment is questionable.

The PRD indicates that the assessment is Regressive. The high-valued properties are under assessed compared to low-valued properties.

The median ratio of 82.5 indicates a low level of assessment and is not in compliance with State standards

## PROBLEM 7-2

## SOLUTION:

SALES STUDY PROBLEM \#2
Round to three decimals in all calculations - 1.111 or 0.999
Calculate the individual sales ratios:

| Sale <br> No. | Address | Sale <br> Date | Sale Price | Assessor's <br> 2004 EMV | Sales <br> Ratio |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $12813^{\text {th }}$ St. | Dec-03 | $\$ 175,000$ | $\$ 157,500$ | 0.900 |
| 2 | $56418^{\text {th }}$ St. | Feb-04 | $\$ 164,000$ | $\$ 142,700$ | 0.870 |
| 3 | $22315^{\text {th }}$ St. | May-04 | $\$ 154,000$ | $\$ 126,300$ | 0.820 |
| 4 | 103 View Ln. | Oct-03 | $\$ 193,000$ | $\$ 154,400$ | 0.800 |
| 5 | $40011^{\text {th }}$ St. | Apr-04 | $\$ 187,000$ | $\$ 166,400$ | 0.890 |
| 6 | $34816^{\text {th }}$ St. | May-04 | $\$ 171,000$ | $\$ 131,700$ | 0.770 |
| 7 | 222 Look Ln. | Aug-04 | $\$ 198,000$ | $\$ 182,200$ | 0.920 |
| 8 | $55117^{\text {th }}$ St. | Mar-04 | $\$ 159,000$ | $\$ 144,700$ | 0.910 |
| 9 | $45415^{\text {th }}$ St. | Jan-04 | $\$ 177,000$ | $\$ 146,900$ | 0.830 |
| 10 | $36712^{\text {th }}$ St. | Nov-03 | $\$ 149,000$ | $\$ 140,100$ | 0.940 |
|  |  | Totals | $\$ 1,727,000$ | $\$ 1,492,900$ | 8.650 |

Mean: $8.650 / 10=\mathbf{0 . 8 6 5}$ Weighted Mean Ratio: $1,492,900 / 1,727,000=\mathbf{0 . 8 6 4}$ Array ratios:

| Array Ratios | Absolute <br> Deviation from <br> Median Ratio |
| :---: | :---: |


| 0.770 | 0.110 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0.800 | 0.080 |
| 0.820 | 0.060 |
| 0.830 | 0.050 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 8 7 0}$ | 0.010 |
| $\mathbf{0 . 8 9 0}$ | 0.010 |
| 0.900 | 0.020 |
| 0.910 | 0.030 |
| 0.920 | 0.040 |
| 0.940 | 0.060 |
| Total | 0.470 |

Median: $\underline{0.870+0.890=1.760 / 2=0.880}$

Range: $0.77-0.94=\mathbf{0 . 1 7 0}$

Average Absolute Deviation from the Median - AAD: $\underline{0.470 / 10=\mathbf{0 . 0 4 7}}$

COD: $\underline{\underline{0.047 / 0.880 ~ X 100 ~=~} 5.341}$

PRD: $\underline{0.865 / 0.864=100.1}$

## PROBLEM 7-2

## SOLUTION:

What determinations can you make about the assessment's quality and uniformity?
A COD of 5.341 indicates the assessment has excellent uniformity. The range of 0.170 between the highest and lowest ratios indicates a good level of uniformity: the ratios are not widely dispersed.

The PRD at 100.1 indicates that the assessment is unbiased. The high-valued properties and the low-valued properties have the same level of assessment. Overall this assessment is excellent.

The median ratio of 88.0 indicates a low level of assessment and is not in compliance with State standards


[^0]:    *For larger numbers of units, enter table with 100 units and 100 times average area per unit. See bottom of Page 14 for other refinement notes.

